In Part 1 of this module, you examined the income distribution and racial/ethnic makeup of 45223 (Northside neighborhood in Cincinnati, Ohio). Now we will explore how these distributions are organized spatially within the ZCTA by examining the data at the census tract level.

Remember that because ZCTAs are based off of the postal service's boundaries, census tracts often overlap with ZCTA boundaries. We will examine $\underline{q}$ census tracts that comprise this area. The ZCTAs are outlined in yellow; the census tracts are outlined in gray.


1. Follow steps 1-4 in Module 1, Part 1 only this time select "Census Tract" instead of ZIP Code.
2. Select "Ohio" for the state; "Hamilton" for the county.
3. Add tracts $73,74,75,77,78,79,84,85.01$, and 85.02 to the selections.
4. Now we are ready to choose variables. Click "Proceed to Tables."
5. Since we are interested in the racial/ethnic makeup and income distribution of these census tracts, we need to find these variables again.
6. Select T14. Hispanic or Latino by Race. Once the variable is highlighted, click "Add" to add it to our selection. Repeat this for T56. Household Income (In 2014 Inflation Adjusted Dollars) and T58. Median Household Income By Race (In 2014 Inflation Adjusted Dollars). You should have three items in your selection.
7. Now click "Show Results."
8. Fill in the following tables. In each cell list the percentage and frequency. The percentage should be on top and the frequency on bottom in parentheses. (Hint: see the bottom row.) The third table is of average household income, not frequencies. In order to see all census tracts, click "next" at the top of the screen.
9. Write a brief description of patterns you notice after each table. (3-4 sentences)

Things to write about: Which group(s) have the biggest proportion in each census tract? In which tracts are minority groups concentrated? How is income distributed throughout the area? How are income and race/ethnicity related to one another across space?

Racial and Ethnic Makeup of 45223 Census Tracts

|  | Tract 73 | Tract 74 | Tract 75 | Tract 77 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tract } \\ 78 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tract } \\ 79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tract } \\ 84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Tract } \\ & 85.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Tract } \\ & 85.02 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Hispanic Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic/Latino of any race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}= \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{gathered}$ |

Description:

Income Distribution of 45223 Census Tracts

|  | Tract 73 | Tract 74 | Tract 75 | Tract 77 | Tract 78 | Tract 79 | Tract 84 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Tract } \\ & 85.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Tract } \\ & 85.02 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Under } \\ & \$ 50,000 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000- \\ & 99,999 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 100,000- \\ & 199,999 \mathrm{k} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 200,000$ and up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}= \end{array}\right)$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}= \end{array}\right)$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}= \end{array}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (\mathrm{n}=\quad) \end{gathered}$ |

Description:

Average Household Income by Race of 45223 Census Tracts

|  | Tract 73 | Tract 74 | Tract 75 | Tract 77 | Tract 78 | Tract 79 | Tract 84 | Tract <br> 85.01 | Tract <br> 85.02 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-Hispanic Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic/Latino of <br> any race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Household <br> Income | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |

Description:

What are advantages to using a smaller geography (census tracts rather than ZCTAs) in examining these variables? Are there any disadvantages? If so, what are they?

